INTERNATIONAL

Phased array ultrasonics for enhanced evaluation and monitoring

Giles Waterhouse

giles@int-ndt.com www.int-ndt.com Giles - 07776 256 339



Bespoke Inspections

- o Yachts Mast, Hull appendages
- Sailing/Motor vessels
- Superyachts/Grand Prix/Americas Cup

Other industries:

- Private aerospace
- Wind energy
- Tidal Energy

EN4179/NAS410/SNT TC 1A

BINDT Composite Certification Committee

giles@int-ndt.com www.int-ndt.com Giles - 07776 256 339



Preventative inspection

- Ultrasonic inspection Composites
- Dye Penetrant Testing Metallic parts
- Traditional survey inspection

Quality assurance

- o Baseline inspection
- o Risk assessment
 - Lightning protection

Damage assessment

- Detailed assessment of damage
- Improved repair planning



Standard inspection vs C-scan Example 1 – Chainplate, Mixed materials Example 2 – Monolithic, complex indications Example 3 – Blind-bonded sleeve join Decision making process

Questions



Phased Array Ultrasonic - High quality monolithic CFRP
Manual recording

- Manual technique
- Single/Phased Array

14 28 51 49 14 28 51 51 14



• Sizing

Classification

o Easy & quicko Hard repeat

ID	Datum A	Datum B	Length 'mm'	Width 'mm'	Depth 'mm'	Comment
P1	1250-1310	65-90	60	25	3.2	Void
P2	7340-7425	130-210	65	80	4.8	Layer porosity 55%
P3	19210- 19335	290-330	125	40	0.4- 5.5	Impact damage
S1	19870- 19940	300-350	70	50	0.8- 5.5	Impact damage



Phased Array Ultrasonic - High quality monolithic CFRPC-scan recording



- Detailed analysis
- Advanced analysis
- Accurate sizing
- o Repeatable
- Bespoke on-site inspection
- Curved surfaces

Ultrasonic scan from outside of hull➤ Mixed materials

Assessment of key chainplate area
Assessment of surrounding laminate

Further analysis
Accurate sizing
Classification of defect
Type/position

Set baseline

- Repeatable inspection
- Accurate monitoring

Composite component – bonded and laminated into hull > Mixed materials – GRP +CFRP + Foam + bonding



Differences Port vs Starboard

- > Monolithic skin thicknesses
- Variability across main area

Colours represent indications at varying depths

 Further analysis to determine position and classification





Port side

Starboard side



Further analysisReference to drawings



Further analysisClear explanation of findings

Blue – Full thickness through structure

Light blue – Far side former to QI infill interface

Green – Near side former to QI infill interface

Yellow – Skin tie to Chainplate structure interface

Red - Near surface (skin) indication





Orange – Strong amplitude response from full thickness

Blue – Moderate response from backwall

White – Very poor response from backwall indicating high impact of intermediate signals

Inspection of complex laminate construction

- Assessment of various aspects of manufacture
 - Further analysis
 - Accurate sizing
 - Classification of defect
 - Type/position

Set Baseline

- Communication of findings
- Repeatable inspection
- Accurate monitoring

Monolithic – complex indication

1. Quality assurance inspection

- Complex pattern voids detected
 - C-scan analysis aids understanding and communication
- Baseline report including C-scans
 - Enable future comparison
- o FEA assessment
- OEM warranty

2. Monitoring inspection

- Comparison to baseline data
- o Repeat C-scan
- Enable detailed analysis
- Decision how to proceed



High modulus, pre-preg, autoclaved, monolithic CFRP

Scan Area – S33



Figure 29 - Area S33 - ABCD scan - BASELINE.

- 1. Baseline Inspection
- Complex indications
- Assess scan images
- o Clustered voids on layer
- Refer to OEM for analysis



Figure 30 - Area S33 - ABCD scan - Jan 2021

- 2. Monitoring Inspection
- o Compare images
- Growth/new indications
- Refer to OEM for decision



Scan Area – P35



Figure 9 - Area P35 – ABCD scan - BASELINE.



Figure 10 - - Area P35 – ABCD scan - Jan 2021

Comparison of images

- Some indications propagating
- Some indications stable
- Detailed information to OEM

Monolithic – complex indication

Non-destructive ultrasonic scanHigh quality monolithic CFRP

Assessment of laminate

Further analysis

- o Accurate sizing
- Classification of defect
 - o Type/position

Set baseline

- Repeatable inspection
- Accurate monitoring

Improved: o Analysis

- Communication
- Decision making



Investigation of reported issue

- Faulty bonded joint poor performance
- o Assessment of part
- Sizing of successful bond
- Communication of issue

Comparison to equivalent

- Assessment of findings
- Communication of findings
- o Baseline report
 - Enable future comparison



High quality monolithic CFRP tube Blind-bonded sleeve join



- o Lacking stiffness
- Leaking water
- Tricky construction
- One of several similar parts
- No other reported issues



Figure 2 - Surface crack (ground back) where water leaks.

Blind-bond assessment



Mast A – Starboard side

Mast B – starboard side

Blind-bond assessment

1. Investigation of reported issue

- C-scan to illustrate findings
 - Detailed analysis
 - Improved communication
- o Baseline report
 - Enable future comparison

2. Further assessment

- Comparison to equivalent
- Repeat inspection on similar part
- Comparison to baseline data
- Assessment of findings
- Communication of findings

Decision making process

- o Inspect
- Detect
- o Assess
- \circ Review
 - Action
 Repair
 Into service
 - Inspect
 Detect
 Assess
 Review



Extra detail provided by C-scan recording

- Defect classification and sizing
- Accurate analysis
- o Complex flaws
- Easier communication of findings

Baseline record

- Repeatable inspection
- o Improved monitoring

Better decision making

Range of applications

INTERNATIONAL

Any questions?

giles@int-ndt.com www.int-ndt.com Giles - 07776 256 339